

An Old Letter from Khotan: A Review of an Early Judeo-Persian Letter Called Dandān-Uiliq II

Author(s): Nima Asefi

Source: Persica Antiqua, January 2023, VOL. 3, NO. 4: 49-60.

Published by: Tissapherness Archaeological Research Group

Stable URL: https://www.persicaantiqua.ir/?_action=showPDF&article=157771

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Tissaphernes Archaeological Research Group, Tehran, Iran. Open Access. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way. The ethical policy of Persica Antiqua is based on the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) guidelines and complies with International Committee of Persica Antiqua Editorial Board codes of conduct. Readers, authors, reviewers and editors should follow these ethical policies once working with Persica Antiqua. The ethical policy of Persica Antiqua is liable to determine which of the typical research papers or articles submitted to the journal should be published in the concerned issue. For information on this matter in publishing and ethical guidelines please visit www.publicationethics.org.





RESEARCH ARTICLE

An Old Letter from Khotan: A Review of an Early Judeo-Persian Letter Called Dandan-Uiliq II

Nima Asefi¹

Abstract

This paper deals with an old Judeo-Persian letter called Dandān-Uiliq II (Abbreviated as DU II); which probably dates back to 9th century CE and further to its contents, has some important linguistic features, often without existence in later Persian texts written in Arabic script, for instance, the use of the adverb $b\bar{e}=but$ (as in Qur'an-e Qods translation), use of the conjunction $k\bar{u}$, the use of the verb h- (to be) and ancient subjunctive mood. This paper also presents a new translation of the letter and its ancient linguistic features discussed in comparison to Early Persian texts (in Perso-Arabic script) and some early Persian translations of Qur'an, especially Qur'an-e Quds (probably written in Sistan in 12th CE). These features represent language transmission from Middle Persian to Neo-Persian (Dari). The given translation is not the same as previous English and Chinese versions and reflects my understanding of its syntax and morphology.

Keywords: Judeo-Persian; Middle Persian; Early New Persian; Dandān-Uiliq.

Introduction

Persian is the only Iranian language that has written documents from its three historical periods, namely, ancient, middle, and modern. Because of this evidence, the evolution of this language from Old Persian to Middle Persian and, in the next stage, from Middle to Early New Persian is considered a very important issue, and researches have been conducted to better understand this evolution.

Despite the evidence left over from the three historical periods of Persian language, we face difficulties in understanding the complete evolution of this language because in passing from Late Old Persian to Middle Persian, i.e. from inscriptions of the late Achaemenian kings to the inscription of Ardashir I, we do not have good documents to follow the evolution of this language. Although in the next stage of evolution, that is, the distance between Late Middle Persian to Early New Persian, our evidence is not insufficient, meaning we are not without evidence. If we leave aside Persian words and phrases written in Arabic sources (which are also very important), our most important sources for understanding this evolution have been scripts other than Persian-Arabic script. Among these sources are Judeo-Persian documents. The term Judeo-Persian does not mean a linguistic variety of Persian but includes different types of Persian language written in Jewish script by Jews. One of the oldest Judeo-Persian documents is two documents known as Dandān-Uilig I (probably the 8th century AD) Dandan-Uiliq II (probably in the 9th century AD). Both these documents are personal letters. In this article, Dandan-Uiliq II is discussed. The letter of Dandan-Uiliq II has 38 lines and is almost healthy and very important both in terms of content and in studying of the Persian evolution. But despite Paul's good grammatical analysis (see Research background), there is no good translation of this document, and there are many difficulties with translations by previous researchers. Also, some grammatical cases can be studied with more exactitude.

Research Background

Unlike DU I, which has been the subject of much scientific works since its discovery in 1901 by Sir Orel Stein¹, DU II has only been studied specifically by Zhan Zhang & Guang Shí. It was first published in Chinese:

张湛、时光:《一件新发现犹太波斯语信札的断代与释读》, 载《敦煌吐鲁 番 研究》第 11 卷,上海:上海古籍出版社,2009 年 9 月,第71—100 页(Zhang Zhan, and Shiguang,2009).

This is the most detailed work on DU II and contains historical background, linguistic descriptions, spelling, transliteration, notes, and references. After this work, more scholars focused (but not completely) on DU II and published essays on different aspects of it.

In addition to these researches, some parts of the letter have also been translated; Ludwig Paul in his valuable work entitled "A Grammar of Early Judeo-Persian", published in 2013, reviewed and translated many parts of the letter. In 2016, in a book section, Zhan Zhang published the first English translation of the DU II (without any further information):

Zhang, Zhan (2016) The Silk Road: A New History with Documents. In V. Hansen (Ed.), *The Silk Road: A New History with Documents* (Pp. 381-382), Oxford University Press.

¹ Or. 8212 (166) in British Museum.

One year later, Yutaka Yoshida, in an article reviewing some words of DU I, made some new suggestions about DU II, quoting the same Chinese translation of Zhan Zhang & Guang Shí in his Japanese work:

吉田豊「コータンのユダヤ.ソグド商人?」 土肥義和.氣賀澤保規編『敦煌吐魯番文書 の世界とその時代』査読あり東京:東洋文庫2017/3: 263-285 (Yoshida, 2017).

New Translation

In the following translation, many differences are found compared to previous translations into English, Chinese and other languages due to differences in morphological and syntactic understanding of the text.

- pnn'm yzyd kwdḥ' y qrbqr sd hzr šlm' by kwdḥ rb nysy cyl'g by 'zrmy
- 2. 'bw shq by gr'my br'dr sw'prdr by ychq w by mwsq w by hrwn by
- 3. k'šq by kwḥrq kwdynq by ḥmgyn mrdwm'n yš m'² bzwrg w qwdq 'z mn
- 4. rbyy drwd w drwsty 'gḥy yš mr' nbyswm qw mn w ḥqym w pyrw³ w mmzyr šbly 5 drwst w nyyqwm⁴ w mrdmq'n 'y k'nḥ p'
- nyrw yzyd kwdḥ t' ymrwz5. ps 'z yn
- 'gḥnwm by br'd św'prdr qw nwrbq 'ndr kwtn 'md w n'mh yšm' 'wrd w yptwm
- 7. w br kwndwm 'n y nbyšt bwdy⁶ hmgyn⁷ qw yš m' p' tn 'y kyš⁸ drwst w nyyq
- hyd m' 'z dwr skt š'd b'šym w sb's d'ry qwnym 'pyš yzyd kwdh
- 9 w ps tw 'gḥ b'š qw m' gwspnd 'yptwm 'z dyḥg'n skt 'zdyḥḥ ḥdyh 'y
- 10. prmwdy bwdym⁹ yš r'st cwn¹º tyb 'ndr bwrdwm ḥmndr zm'n tyb p'n
- 11. by qr dyd" w mr cykšy r' prmwd qw zwd gwspnd yn swgdy by dyh
- 12. w cykšy w 'n bd pywstn'² by dyḥg'n kyšm qrypt w cyz skwn 'y bd mrdwm
- 13. n' grypt¹³ chr mrnd d'd šbly w hqym w dw gwl'm rptn p' qwh

14. šš my mḥ p' dḥ sgd dyḥg'n r' yqy gwlyq w yq qpyz qbr w pnc šg

- 15. dwgbyk w yq šg dmbyr w yq styr bwy 'y cyny h(dy)h 'z mr syky r''4 yqy
- 16. prny'n w yqy šmsy hydyh cy nyyq qrdyd syky (cy)k'šy 'y dwktr 'y dyhg'n r'
- 17. ...ryq qr'q n'm ḥst yqy prny'n w dw gnd w dw lymcw ḥdyh yq pnkw'n
- 18. 'y br gwspnd myhtr' yqy lyqyn w yqy gnd yqy lymcw hdyh dw mrnd
- 19. r' qw sr šmr 'y gwspnd myḥtr bwdn yq yq lyqyn w yq yq gnd wd lymc(w)
- 20. hdyh šb'n'n r' bgdw w gnd w lymcw hdyh w šdn p' qwh by nwz gwspnd
- 21. by dyst m' n' rsyd w pdyryptn qw gwspnd skt nyyq dyḥym w p' n'mḥ
- 22. nbyšt bwdy qw nyz pšyz kwstn p' gwspnd w n' d'dwm¹5 w n' nyyq qrdy
- 23. 'g(r) yn n'mḥ by šm' rs'd¹6 w dwktr 'y dyḥg'n by rwn n' mdḥ b'd
- 24. ...(c)nd pšyz kw'(ḥ)...(p)' gwspnd 'š by prmy d'dn w b' wy byrwn 'yy'⁷
- 25. ... cyšm w rwšny'y¹8 ḥm yn dwktr ḥst dyḥg'n r' w skt sb's qwn
- 26. ...r sb's 'y wr' qwny cyz gwm n' bwd mn skt bysy'r n'mh prystwm
- 27. ... šm' ps n' d'nwm qw by šm' n' rsd (p)...(c) my m' p' hzdh sgd
- 28. šbly 'ndr 'md p' byst w pnc sgd dw qynq'k¹9 dyḥgn nzdyq 'y
- 29. dwktr prystyd mn p' dyst 'y hm 'n qynq'k syh n'mh prystydwm
- 30. by šm' hr cy 'gḥy 'y šḥr w ny q'šgr bwd ḥmgyn nbyšt bwdwm 'gḥy 'y
- 31. (q)'šgr yn ḥst qw twpyty'n r' p'q by qwstn w bgdw bstn w sb'pwsy swd
- 32. ... q'šgr 'b' p' sd mrnd cy sw'r w cy py'dh w sbs 'y sb'pwšy {hb}
- 33. ...nb'šy ḥrb r' w sl'm w kzym r' bysp'n prystyd w mn ḥrb r' cyz
- 34. d'dwm p' m'yḥ 'y sd ptqw pšyz wndrz qr dydwm 'z swy 'y dwyd r'²⁰
- 35. psr 'y nysy w kḥr z'dḥ yš m' r'²¹ ḥm ḥrb r' wsl'm w kwz'm r' qw
- 36. ... 'gr 'mdḥ b'd p' q'šgr ḥr cnd kwzynḥ kw'ḥnd cyz b'z m'

37. m' d'ryd²² by²³ ydwn šnydwm qw ḥm dwyd w ḥm

38. kw'ḥr z'dh

Translation¹

- In the name of Divine-God, the beneficent, one hundred thousand greetings to master rabbi Nisi Chilag, to Abu-Sahak.
- The reverend, to dear brother Shavapardar, to Issac and to Mushak and to Harun, to
- 3. Xašak, to little sister Khudenak, to all your people, adults and children, sent by me
- 4. O Rabbi, peace and health (be upon you). I'm writing to inform you that I and Hakim and Pairu and Mamzir(?) Shabli
- 5. are healthy and well, (so are) the servants of the house, by the power of Divine-God, until today. Hence
- 6. I inform brother Shavapardar, that Nurbak came to Khotan, brought your letter and I received (it),
- 7. and I read all of (what) you had written; that your body is healthy and well
- 8. we are very happy and thankful to the Divine-God
- and be aware that we obtained the sheep from Dihgān (local ruler) with many thanks. About the gift
- 10. which you ordered, we did exactly what you said. When I brought it to Tib immediately, because of that (gift), Tib ('s mood)
- changed and commanded Cyk ' šy ", Quickly! Deliver the sheep to this Sogdian."

- 12. *Cyk'* šy and other (people) started to vilify (and oppose), but Dihgān got angry and did not pay attention to their bad words.
- 13. He gave four men²(?): Shabli, Hakim, and two slaves, (they) went to the mountain
- 14. on the tenth day of the sixth month. To Dihgān, one vase, one *Kafiz*³ of *Kabar*, and five šag (Chinese measure) of
- 15. *dwgbyx*, one šag of *dmbyr*, one stir of Chinese scent, as a gift. To *Syky*, one Parniyān (patterned silk fabric),
- 16. and one Shamsi (one unit of raw silk)⁴, as a gift. How well you done (on the gifts). The gift for syky (cy)k'šy, daughter of Dihgān
- 17. whose name is ...ryq qr'q, one Parniyān and two (measures of) sugar, and two lymcw. To a panxwan (administrative assistant) who
- 18. is the keeper of the sheeps, one *lyqyn* and one (measure of) sugar and one *lymcw*. To two men
- 19. who were the elders of the sheep-counters, for anyone one *lyqyn* and one sugar and two lymcw as a gift.
- 20. For shepherds, the gift is *bgdw* and sugar and *lymčw*. (They) have gone to the mountain but we
- 21. have not obtained the sheep yet and they accepted (promised and said) "we will give (you) very good/a lot of sheeps" and in the letter
- 22. you have written that "They demanded some extra fee for sheep again, but I did not give it to them", and you did not do well
- 23. If this letter reaches you and the Dihgān's daughter has not come to an

^{&#}x27;In this article, wherever there is talk of reading Zhang& Shí, this articl is meant (Zhang Zhan, and Shiguang, 2009 [张湛 and 时光, 2009]) and wherever there is talk of Zhang's translation, this article is meant (Zhang 2016). Due to the frequent repetition of this phrase, in-text references have been avoided.

² Based on comment of Paul (2012: 105).

³ In the Middle Persian, *kabīz* is a grain measure (MacKenzie, 1971: 47)

⁴ The explanation about *Shamsi*, *panxwān*, and *Sabapushi* is according to Zhang Zhan (2016).

- agreement,
- 24. As much money as she asks for the sheep, then command to give her and make an agreement with her.
- 25. The eyesight and light of Dihgān is this girl (she is the apple of Dihgān's eye) and thank her a lot
- 26. ... [If] you thank her, nothing will be lost. I send many letters
- 27. ... [to] you, but I do not know whether these reache you [or not], on the eighteenth day in the fifth month
- 28. Shabli came. On the twenty-fifth day, Dihgān sent two maids
- 29. to the daughter. I sent thirty letters by the same maid
- 30. to you.I wrote all information about the cities except Kashgar. News about
- 31. Kashgar is that (they) killed all the Tibetans and tied up bgdw. Sibāpushi (the vice commander)
- 32. went to Kashgar with five hundred men, mounted and on foot. After Sibāpushi
- 33. bashi sent a courier for war, peace, defeat, and for war I
- 34. gave something worth a hundred Petko coin and you advised me on behalf of David,
- 35. Nisi's son, and your nephew about all War and peace and defeat.
- 36. If It [= war] comes to Kashgar, whatever money they demanded,
- 37. do not hesitate, but this is how I heard that both David and
- 38. and nephew...

Commentaries

ı. kwdh: the final h can be found in some words in Abu Nowas's poetry and also in some titles such as "ابخارا خذاه" The ruler of Bukhara that is mentioned in Arabic books. The final -h has remained after the long vowel in some EP words like جراه $\bar{A}\check{s}(e)n\bar{a}h$, آشناه $\bar{A}s(i)y\bar{a}h$ and جراه (Ṣādeqī 1978, 79).

- 2. mrdwm'n yš m': Zhang & Shí have read $mardum\bar{a}n$ iš $\sim m\bar{a}$. The first problem is that the -y Edafe (genitive sign) in the expression has been ignored. The second problem is that the pronoun (second person plural) in some lines like the 30th, has been transcribed as *šumā*. In other words, the pronoun has been transcribed into two different pronunciations. According to line 7 ... qw yš m' ... the pronoun should be $i \tilde{s} m \bar{a}$ in the whole letter. Indeed, in some phrases in which the preceding word ends in final -y, the writer has not written two ys (one as genitive sign and the other as the initial letter of yšm'); so y in lines 3 & 6 should be considered and read both as a short form of Edafe and the initial letter of the pronoun and in the lines similar to 30 it should be transcribed as $b\bar{e}$ išmā. Such short forms can be seen in lines 10 & 18 in words p'n = p'n /pa ān/] and *myḥtr*' [= myḥtr r' /mihtar rā/] too.
- 3. *pyrw*: Zhang has considered this word as an adjective for *hqym*, but the conjunction *w* [u] is clearly recognizable between these two words. Therefore, *hqym w pyrw* is more accurate than *hqym pyrw*.
- 4. *nyyqwm*: It is interesting to note that both endings *-ym* and *-wm* (the first person plural ending) have been used simultaneously. An example of using *-wm* for the first person plural can be found in the current and the 9th line. (*m' gwspnd 'yptwm*). The ending *-wm* for the first person plural can also be seen in Middle Persian Manichaean texts, for example, 'wš'n 'wh gwpt kw nmbrwm tw (Boyce 1975: 63) as well as in the Paikuli inscription, the Pazand text of *Škand Gumanik Vizār* (chapter 2- paragraph 3) and *Tafsir-e Shonghoshi*.
- 5. p' nyrw yzyd kwdh t' ymrwz: Zhang has translated "By the power of the Lord

God, servants of the house are well till today.", nonetheless "the power of the Lord God" includes all the people mentioned in the sentence.

- 6. *nbyšt bwdy*: In the letter of Dandān-Uiliq I, the past perfect verb has such a construction "frwkth bwd [frōxta būd]" but in the present text *nbyšt bwdy* [nibišt būdē] is mentioned.
- 7. hmgyn: Zhang & Shí have read hamagin and translated "all of you are physically healthy and sound". It should be considered that the conjunctive $k\bar{u}$ is followed by hmgyn, so their translation cannot be accurate. If hmgyn means 'all', the translation should be "You wrote about all (of you), that you are healthy and well". Another suggestion is to consider hmgyn as an adverb, and in this case, the translation should be "I read all you have written", which is more preferable. 8. kyš: Zhang & Shí have transliterated kwš, transcribed as x and translated "healthy and sound". I believe that
- kws, transcribed as x^was and translated "healthy and sound". I believe that according to the following evidence, it should be read as kys [xes] not kws [xwas]:
 - a) Syntactically, the word $x^w a \check{s}$ cannot fit into this sentence. Because if the writer wanted to say "you are healthy and sound", there would be no need for an Eḍāfe (i) between tan and $x^w a \check{s}$.
 - b) It should also be noted that the author has only used the words $drwst \ w \ nyyq$ in paragraph 5 to talk about his health and we don't see the word $x^wa\check{s}$.
 - c) As we know, y and w in EJP are written in a very similar way and as it has been noted by Utas, they have sometimes been used instead of each other (Utas 1968, 125); Therefore, I think the best choice here (Fig. 1) is to read y instead of w. Although the y has an uncommon

long tail, it's not unknown. One more example can be found in line 14 *yqy* where the second *y* is written with a longer tail than the first (Fig. 2):





Fig. 1. *kyš* line 7 Fig. 2. *yqy* line 14

Therefore, it's better to read the word with y rather than w. So the word would be $x\bar{e}s$ > $x^w\bar{e}s$ "self". But the question is why this word is written without w, though it is very common in Perso-Arabic spelling of Early Persian texts to write the word with w [xwes / $\dot{e}s$]?

To answer this question, we should consider the word $x\bar{a}har < x^w\bar{a}har$ "sister" in line 35 which is written without w (=khr) -although in line 38 there is another form as kw'hr- despite the fact that it is often written with w in Early Persian texts. This kind of multiple writing shows the process of x^w being simplified and changing to x in the dialect of the author. As Gindin (2016, 46) has noted too, in Early Tajiki of Jews and Neo-Persian the consonant cluster of x^w has a unified sound with x. As it's clear, the author of the letter belongs to Sogdiana.

It is also noteworthy that $x^w\bar{a}$ in $x^w\bar{a}stan$ in DU II is written with two different spellings, both with ' and without it. For instance, cf. line 25 *kwstn* vs. line 37 *kw'hnd*, which we know through a poem by the 12th-century poet Sayf-al-Dīn Farghānī, where $x^w\bar{a}ham$ is written as xwhm:

ay dustān xuham (خوهم) ke be nīkī doā-ye Savf

yak ruz bar zabān-e šomā nīz bogzarad

In my opinion, here the author wanted to say "... pa tan i $x\bar{e}$ š ... (in your body)". The phrase $tan \bar{\iota} xw\bar{e}$ is extensively used

in the Middle Persian texts (for example, in paragraph 29 of the Pahlavi text of *Andarz ī Pōryōtkēšān*: ... abar tan ī xwēš

As Paul has mentioned, in some Judeo-Persian letters from Bamiān, the word $x^w\bar{e}\dot{s}tan$ is written without w as $ky\dot{s}tn$ (Paul 2013, 101). Paul does not have a definite view on this word. In his opinion, the transliteration of the word is $kw\dot{s}$ (which is incorrect in my opinion). Although he translates the word as the pronoun $x^w\bar{e}\dot{s}$, he has accepted the second reading as $x^wa\dot{s}$ in the footnote.

d) It is also useful for understanding of line 21 from DU I (Fig. 3), where Utas has read it as $b\bar{e}$ $t\bar{o}$ az $šum\bar{a}r$ $\bar{\iota}$ $x^wa\check{s}$ $\check{c}\bar{\iota}z$ and $\bar{o}h$ $m\bar{a}$ $far[m\bar{a}i]$: but you, for a good reckoning (do) not (suffer) any anxiety (Utas 1968, 129 & 130 L.21).



Fig. 3. DU I line 21

Salemann suggests $xw\&[x^w\&[x]]$ (1905, 49). Lazard (1995, 207 f.4) considered Salemann's suggestion very tempting but believes it is odd that y is not seen in the spelling. In this case, my proposal for reading xy&[x&ed] can be applied to this.

9. prmwdy bwdym: Zhang& Shí have considered it as a verb and translated "we ordered". Even if it is a past verb, it should be translated as pluperfect "we had ordered". It is important to say that the pluperfect structure in this letter must be: 1) past stem + auxiliary (cf. nibišt būdē in line 22), therefore frmwd bwdym would be the correct form, or at least it could be 2) past participle + auxiliary (cf. frwkth bwd in Dandān Uiliq 1). The first follows MP structure and the second follows ENP structure. But the final y here challenges Zhang & Shí's

remark and the pluperfect hypothesis.

Similar to Zhang & Shí, Ludwig Paul (2013) has also considered this phrase as a verb, but with two translations: 1) "You had ordered" *prmwdy bwdy* without *m*; page 46)"; 2) "the gifts that we have ordered (for) him are right" *prmwdy bwdym* including *m* (page 98).

In my view, these two are separate verbs:

A) prmwdy: past simple verb, second person singular, with sw'prdr (the letter recipient) as its subject and hdyh 'y ... as its object, meaning "the gifts that you ordered". Since there was no ergative structure in that period, the past transitive verb inflected similar to the past intransitive verb. This sentence can be compared with q'r 'y prmwdy 'š skt gwnwm [kār ī farmūdē aš saxt kunum] "the work you ordered, I shall work hard" in DU I line 29. Probably in DU II, the second verb has been moved to the initial position for emphasis.

B) bwdym: past simple verb, second person plural, referring to those who said ", we with respect took delivery of the sheep from dihgān." The $i\vec{s}$ after the verb refers to the gift, meaning "that (gift)", and is also the object of rāst būdan. rāst būdan "to be honest (about something)" has some evidence in Early Persian literature. In this text, it probably means "to adhere to something properly." In conclusion, the whole sentence can be translated as such: "the gift that (you = $\delta w^{2}prdr$) ordered, we were faithful to it (we did exactly as you said= exactly as you said, we distributed the gifts)." There is

¹ Probably he has considered m as an accusative enclitic pronoun for 1st singular person, therefore meaning "You had ordered me."

another evidence in paragraph 16 that reinforces this view. As it is well known in Persian, the main meaning of *kardan* is is "to make/do". In line 16, the author, recounting the gifts, says *čy nyyq qrdyd* (how good you did (made), which can refer to the provided gifts by *šw'prdr*, not to the author of the letter. In other words, the author of the letter tells *šw'prdr* "you made/did good gifts".

10. *cwn *tyb 'ndr bwrdwm*: Zhang has considered *cwn* as a causative adverb and translated "because", but here it is an adverb of time that means "when". Therefore, a better translation might be "When I took the gift to *tyb."

11. hmndr zm'n tyb p'n by qr dyd: Zhang has translated "As soon as Tib worked on that" The reason why he had this understanding is not clear to me. In my view, the phrase can be read in three different ways:

- A) ham andar zaman tīb bi kardīd
- B) ham andar zaman tīb bē kār dīd
- C) ham andar zaman tīb bi gardīd

In A & C, by is considered as a preverb and in B as a preposition. A is fully rejected semantically; also its person and number does not match with the preceding and following sentences.

Although B brings to the mind of the Persian speakers the meaning: "At the time, Tib saw it useful" and it is consistent with the general context of the sentence, there is an important syntactic problem. The usage of "by" in this phrase is not correct and it should be replaced by *pa*.

C is the best semantically and its person and number match with the preceding and following sentences. It should be noticed that *gardīdan* كرديدن also means "to change; to alter" in Persian and due to this meaning, is used to mean "to change the mood". For instance,

(Dehxodā 1998, V.12 19057):

ey dūst be sad gūneh begardī be zamānī gah xoš-soxanī gīrī -o- gah talx-zabānī ~ Farroxi Yazdi

My friend, your mood changes a hundred times in a moment, sometimes you become eloquent and sometimes you become bitter

agar sepehr begardad ze hāl-e xod to magard

vogar zamāneh nasāzad to bā zamāneh besāz ~ Masʿūd-e Saʿd-e Salmān

If the sky rotates, you do not change your mood

And if the time is incompatible, be compatible with it

Therefore, the sentence is trying to tell us when the gift was brought to Tib, due to its value, the mood of Tib changed and he ordered to take delivery of the sheep. To answer why qrdyd is transcribed as $gard\bar{\iota}d$ (we know that q in EJP represents k), it should be noticed that the initial g in EJP is usually shown with g and q is often used to show k, but in line 12 of DU II girift is written as qrypt; also in line 31 of DU I $guft\bar{e}$ is written as qwpty. Therefore, it is correct if we consider q to represent g here.

12. pywstn: If we consider it as an infinitive, its use is not justified here because it does not follow the grammar of the Persian language. It is probably a third-person plural verb that does not

end in *d*, similar to *rptan* in lines 13 and 21, and *an* is a later form of *any*, similar to some evidence in some Manichaean texts (for example, in the text H in the reader in Manichaean Middle Persian and Parthian by Mary Boice). Therefore, the author is saying *cyk*'šy and other people started to vilify and oppose."

13. *grypt*: It is known that one of the meanings of *griftan* گرفتن in Persian is "to count / assume" (see to Dehxodā's dictionary). Also in Persian, the verb phrase be *čiz i na griftan* means "to not pay attention". For example, we can refer to Baba Taher Hamadani:

nemīgīrad kas-am hargez be čizī dar-in ālam ze har kas kamtar astam

Nobody counts me as an (important) being. I am the most insignificant person in this world.

So, I think the letter says "Dihgān got angry and did not pay attention to their bad words.".

14. 'z mr syky r': It is important to note that the combination az mar... is used in Document No. 15 of the Berkeley University Middle Persian Collection (Berkeley MP Archive) next to the name of the governor (...az mar ī dūdag ōstāndār...) (Weber 2004: 136) and somehow shows the history of the use of mar in late Middle Persian.

15. *n' d'dwm*: The Negative article for the verb in DU II is *na*, e.g., L. 22 *n' d'dwm* and L. 23 *w n' nyyq qrdy*; but for the noun is *ny*, L.30.

16. rs'd and mdh b'd show an old structure of subjunctive mood of Middle Persian that has not reached Modern Persian, but its singular third person is used in Modern Persian as the privative mood. 17. 'yy: The second /y/ at the end of 'yy is the sign of the optative mood similar to Middle Persian $\bar{e}(h)$.

18. I have already compared the structure of this word with "dušmenyādīh" (Asefi, Shafiee: 2020), this comparison is not correct although I still think this word is rōšnyā(y)ī (روشنایی) not as reading Zhang& Shí rōšnī-ē.

19. *qynq'k*: Zhang has translated "messenger" and Paul has not mentioned anything new about it (Paul 2013, 162). Two Sogdian words *kncq* and *knc'k* mean "small girl, child" (Qarīb 2004, E. 190 & 4752) and *qynq'k* is probably related to these Sogdian words and means "bondmaid".

20. 'z swy 'y... r': This phrase means "on behalf of..." (Utas 1968, 133 N.15) so the whole sentence means "You advised me on behalf of David...". Zhang has considered David and Son of Nisi, as two different persons and understood Son of Nisi as the nephew of sw'prdr and translated the phrase "David, and Nisi's son, your nephew".

It is quite obvious that there is no conjunction between "David and Nisi's son" in the letter, but there is a conjunction between "Nisi's son" and "your nephew".

It's noteworthy that the final line shows that the author is telling the addressee about David and the nephew and due to this evidence, David is the son of Nisi and the second person is the nephew of *św'prdr*.

21. psr 'y nysy w kḥr z'dḥ yš m' r': Zhang has translated "you have given me advice for the sake of David, Nisi's son, your nephew, also for the war, for peace and victory". this translation shows he has considered ḥm ḥrb r' wsl'm w kwz'm r' as a continuation of 'z swy 'y.... In other words, he has considered 'z swy 'y... for all, (including) David, Nisi's son, the

nephew and the phrase hm hrb r' wsl'm w kwz'm r', though it seems 'z swy 'y... works only for David, Nisi's son and the nephew and the next phrase do not relate to it. So in my opinion the whole sentence means ", you advised me on behalf of David, Nisi's son, and your nephew, about all War and peace and defeat."

22. b'z m'm' d'ryd: The main meaning of bāz dāštan in Persian is "to avoid; to prevent". Its formula is bāz dāštan *something/someone from **(doing) something/someone, for instance:

اگر از من تو بد نداری باز

نکنی بینیاز روز نیاز

agar az man to bad nadāri bāz nakoni bi-niyāz ruz-e niyāz ~ Abušakur balxī

(If you don't prevent bad (things) from (happening to) me, (and) do not make (me) gratified in (my) time of need)

the two most important things that should be considered are:

- a) To avoid repetition and duplication, it is possible to eliminate either * or ** from the formula.
- b) Due to semantic development, bāz dāštan can also mean "to withhold, to spare". For instance: farmud ke yā 'ezrāil, man behešt rā az dustān-e xod bāz nadāram, ān rā rahā kon tā dar behešt bāšad "He ordered O, 'ezrāil! I do not withhold paradise from my friends; release it so that he will be in paradise." ~ Qeṣaṣ al-Anbiyā, p. 32 cited by (Dehxodā 1998, V.3 4103).

In DU II, the correct meaning is the second. So, Paul has translated the sentence "do not keep anything back from us." (Paul 2013, 143) and it means that he has considered the first m' as a first person plural pronoun and the second one as a prohibitive article. Although both seem to be prohibitive articles due to the end of the line, the author repeats the word. Therefore, the meaning is: "Don't withhold anything (from them)" and as it has been said, "from them" can be eliminated since it is very common in Persian (more examples can be found in Dehxodā's entry for $b\bar{a}z$ $d\bar{a}stan$).

23. by: Here it means "but", same as Middle Persian. Zhang has translated it "but" in Line 20, whereas in by ydwn šnydwm, translated "I followed your advice" He may have considered it as a verbal prefix.

Conclusion

The linguistic form of this text has a mediating structure, which means that it shows the evolution of the Persian language - from Middle Persian to Early New Persian - well. We can see some features identical to Middle Persian and some identical to Early New Persian. The most important phonological feature that can be seen in this text is the various spellings of words, which reflects the various pronunciations of the words by the writer and again indicates the language transmission period. You can see these features in the lists below.

1.1. ~ Some features Identical with MP

1.1.1. The MP characteristic features of DU II, which have not been reflected in early NP texts in Perso-Arabic script or rarely used in some dialectical ENP texts such as the Quran translations and commentaries in NP, including:

1.1.2. The use of the ancient construction

- of MP subjunctive verb mood.
- 1.1.3. The use of the adverb $b\bar{e}$ = but (as in Quran-e Qods translation).
- 1.1.4. The use of $\bar{\iota}$ as relative and Eḍāfe (as in Quran-e Qods translation).
- 1.1.5. The use of the verb h- (to be) (as in Quran-e Qods translation)
- 1.1.6. The use of the conjunction $k\bar{u}$ (e.g. L.7 & 9). This conjunction is sometimes replaced by the relative $k\bar{e}$ and this shows the interchanging process of the two words. For instance, in L.19 dw mrnd r qw sr sm y gw spnd myhtr bwdn.
- 1.1.7. The use of the adverbial suffix -īhā.
 6.1.8. The use of the preposition ō (κ).
 6.1.9. The use of suffix -īd instead of -ād in L.29 in verb prystyd '(he) sent.' (this suffix has also been used in many ENP texts; This form corresponds to MP).

1.2. ~ Identical with NP

- **1.2.1.** The loss of ergativity.
- **1.2.2.** The use of preposition $r\bar{a}$ as direct object sign.
- **1.2.3.** The use of postposition $r\bar{a}$ next to the preposition mar.
- **1.2.4.** The use of $yak\bar{e}$ "a/one" instead of yak "one".

1.3. Phonological features

1. L.9 the verb 'yptwm with the initial a

Bibliography

- Aefi, N. & Shafiee, I. (2020). "The Judeo-Persian letter of Dandān-Uiliq II" *Persian Language and Iranian Dialects* 5st Year, Vol. 2, No.10: 169-196 (In Persian).
- Boyce, M. (1975). A Reader in Manichaean Middle Persian, and Parthian Texts with Notes: Bibliothèque Pahlavi.
- Dehxodā, A. Ak. (1998). *Loghatnāme* (*In Persian*). 15 vols, Tehrān.
- Gindin, T. (2016). "Linguistic Perspectives on Judeo-Persian" *Iran Namag* 1 (2): 40-51.
- Lazar, G. (2014). Šeklgiri-ye zabān-e Fārsi. translator

- (<*abi-/*ady-āfta-) and L.6 without the initial a as yptwm.
- The preposition abāg in L.32 has preserved the initial a: 'b' p' sd mrnd but in L.24 lost it.
- 3. x^w pronunciation and spelling is reduced to x.
- 4. The initial a is dropped in the word nyz "also" <* $an\bar{\iota}$ -z (Cf. DU I:13 any).
- 5. The final *d* in three Plural endings is dropped (except L.36).
- 6. The consonant g in the relativistic suffix $-\bar{\iota}g$ is removed, while the verbal suffix $-\bar{\iota}h\bar{a}$ is completely preserved.
- 7. The verbal prefix and the preposition *abar* is without the initial *a*: '*y br gwspnd* **myḥtr*'. Cf. DU I:X *abar xāstumē*.

1.4. Orthography

The difference between x and /k/ in DU II can be the sign of the earliness of this text; just like DU I, the letter $\supset k$ represents the sound of x and the letter $\nearrow q$ represents the sound of k in DU II. For instance, L.6 kwtn /Xutan/ and L.3 qwdq [kodak] (Orsatti 2019, 61). While in the texts of later periods, such as the legal text of Ahvaz, the letter $\nearrow q$ is used to indicate $Q\bar{a}f$.

M. Bahreyni. Tehran (In Persian).

MacKenzie, D. N. 1971. *A Concise Pahlavi Dictionary.* Oxford University Press.

Orsatti, P. (2019). "Persian Language in Arabic Script: The Formation of the Orthographic Standard and the Different Graphic Traditions of Iran in the First Centuries of the Islamic Era." In *Creating Standards: Interactions with Arabic script in 12 manuscript cultures.* edited by Dmitry Bondarey, Alessandro Gori and Lameen Souag. 39-72.

Paul, L. (2013). A grammar of early Judaeo-Persian:

- Reichert Verlag.
- Qarīb, B. (2004). Sogdian Dictionary: Farhangan Publications.
- Ṣādeqī, A. Aš. (1978). *Takwīn-e zabān-e Fārsī:* Entešārāt-e dānešgāh-e Āzād-e Irān.
- Tafsir-e Shonghoshi, (1977). (ed.) Yahaghi, M.J.: Bonyād-e- farhang. Tehran (In Persian).
- Utas, B. (1968). "The Jewish-Persian Fragment from Dandān-Uiliq". *Orientalia Suecana* 17: 123-136.
- Zhang, Zhan, (2016). "The Silk Road: A New History with Documents," In *The Silk Road: A New History with Documents*. edited by Valerie Hansen. 381-382, Oxford University Press.
- Yoshida, Fyo. (2017). "Jews of Khotan. Sogdian merchants?" (ed.) Yasunori Kigasawa and

- Yoshikazu Doi. The world and era of the Dunhuang Tuluban documents 3: 263-285.
- [吉田, 豐. (2017). "コータンのユダヤ.ソグド商人?" Edited by 氣賀澤保規 and 土肥義和. 敦煌.吐魯番文書 の世界とその時代3: 263-285.]
- Zhang Zhan, and Shiguang. (2009). "Dating and interpretation of a newly discovered Jewish Persian letter." *Dunhuang Turfan Research* (*Shanghai Ancient Books Publishing House*) 9: 71-100.
- [张湛, and 时光. (2009). "一件新发现犹太波斯语信札的断代与释读." 敦煌吐鲁 番研究 (上海古籍出版社) 9: 71-100.]